SINGLE BENCH

(3) (5)

CF 100L

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. ~~/2007~~ 826/07

PETITIONER

Vijay Kumar Sinha,
S/o Shri Chandradev Narayan
Aged about 47 years,
Occupation – Service
Presently working as
Assistant Teacher,
Primary School, Komdo,
D.E.O. Jashpur, District Jashpur (C.G.)
R/o New Water Tank, Karbala Road,
Jashpur Nagar, District Jashpur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 99207
Presented by Shri R.K. Bajpai
dated 31.7.07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
School Education
Department, Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
31/7/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. Director,
Lok Shikshan,
Jashpur, Distt-Jashpur (C.G.)

3. District Education Officer, Jashpur
District-Jashpur
(C.G.)

4. Principal,
Primary School, Komdo,
D.E.O. Jashpur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/~~227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS

COMMISSIONER
PARNA
ALVIYA
3073/96
03/8/07

The petitioner, above named, most humbly and respectfully submits as under :-

(64)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 826 of 2007

Petitioner : Vijay Kumar Sinha

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Present: Mrs. Fouzia Mirza, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for State/respondents.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 12th Day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the question of law involved in this petition is governed by the Circular dated 20-1-1987. The High Court of Madhya Pradesh in Indraveer Singh Tomar vs. State of M.P. and others[1] has considered the issue and disposed of the said matter in following terms:-

> Pare 2 "..... In view of this submission advanced by Counsel for parties, this petition is disposed of by giving following directions. The respondent No. 1 shall consider petitioner's representations referred to above and dispose of the same at an early date, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of communication of this order in accordance with law, keeping in mind the circulars issued by State Govt. as also the directions given by the Tribunal in similar matters."

(65)

2. Learned counsel appearing for the parties would jointly submit and admit that this case, being similar in nature, is squarely covered by the decision of the Madhya Pradesh High Court in the case of Indraveer Singh Tomar (Supra).

3. In view of aforestated reasons, this petition is disposed of in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2004(2) M.P.H.T. 3(NOC)

Subhash